

REPORT TO: TAYSIDE VALUATION JOINT BOARD - 13 JUNE 2016

REPORT ON: EXTERNAL AUDIT – AUDIT STRATEGY OVERVIEW AND PLAN 2015/16

REPORT BY: TREASURER

REPORT NO: TVJB 10-2016

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to the Board External Audit's Audit strategy overview and plan for the year ending 31 March 2016, attached as an Appendix to this report.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 The Board is asked to note the content of External Audit's Audit strategy overview and plan for the year ending 31 March 2016.

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of External Audit Services is provided for in the Assessor's Revenue Budget.

4 MAIN TEXT

Introduction

- 4.1 The external audit of the Board for the financial year 2015/16 will be carried out by Mr Andy Shaw, Director, KPMG. The Joint Board's External Auditors are appointed for a five year period and financial year 2015/16 marks the fifth and last year of KPMG's current appointment to the Board.

External Auditor's Audit strategy overview and plan

- 4.2 The External Auditor's Audit strategy overview and plan summarises KPMG's responsibilities as external auditors for the year ending 31 March 2016 and their intended approach to issues impacting the Joint Board's activities in that year.

External Auditor's responsibilities

KPMG's responsibilities as independent auditor, are established by the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 and the Code of Audit Practice, and guided by the auditing profession's ethical guidance.

The Auditors' objectives in relation to the Code of Practice are to:-

- audit the financial statements and place a certificate on the statements stating that the audit has been conducted in accordance with Part VII of the Act;
- satisfy themselves that:
 - the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with all applicable statutory requirements;

- proper accounting practices have been observed in the preparation of the financial statements;
 - the Board has made proper arrangements for securing Best Value and is complying with its community duties; and
 - the Board has made adequate arrangements for collecting, recording and publishing prescribed performance information;
- hear any objection to the financial statements lodged by an interested person.

4.4 **Reporting Arrangements**

Under the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, there is a requirement for unaudited Annual Accounts to be presented to the appointed Auditor within 3 months of the financial year end i.e. 30th June. The non-statutory target date for audit completion is 31 August 2016.

The External Auditor provides an independent auditor's report to the Board and the Accounts Commission stating that the audit of the financial statements has been completed in accordance with applicable statutory requirements, including an opinion on those financial statements. An annual report to members and the Controller of Audit will also be produced to summarise all significant matters arising from the audit. This will be prepared in draft for the Joint Board meeting on 22 August 2016 when the audited financial statements will also be considered prior to formal sign off.

5 **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

This report has been screened for any policy implications in respect of Sustainability, Strategic Environmental Assessment, Anti-Poverty, Equality Impact Assessment and Risk Management. There are no major issues identified.

6 **CONSULTATIONS**

The Assessor and the Clerk to the Board have been consulted on the content of this report.

7 **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

None

MARJORY M STEWART
TREASURER

03 JUNE 2016



cutting through complexity

Tayside Valuation Joint Board

Audit strategy and plan overview
For the year ending 31 March 2016
20 June 2016
12 February 2016

Contents



1. 2015-16 AUDIT STRATEGY ON A PAGE



2. SCOPING AND PURPOSE



3. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND OTHER FOCUS AREAS



4. AUDIT APPROACH



5. TIMELINE AND REPORTING



APPENDICES

About this report

This report has been prepared in accordance with the responsibilities set out within the Audit Scotland's *Code of Audit Practice* ("the Code").

This report is for the benefit of Tayside Valuation Joint Board ("the Joint Board") and is made available to Audit Scotland and the Audit Commission (together "the Beneficiaries"). This report has not been designed to be of benefit to anyone except the Beneficiaries. In preparing this report we have not taken into account the interests, needs or circumstances of anyone apart from the Beneficiaries, even though we may have been aware that others might read this report. We have prepared this report for the benefit of the Beneficiaries alone.

Nothing in this report constitutes an opinion on a valuation or legal advice.

We have not verified the reliability or accuracy of any information obtained in the course of our work, other than in the limited circumstances set out in the scoping and purpose section of this report.

This report is not suitable to be relied on by any party wishing to acquire rights against KPMG LLP (other than the Beneficiaries) for any purpose or in any context. Any party other than the Beneficiaries that obtains access to this report or a copy (under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002, through a Beneficiary's Publication Scheme or otherwise) and chooses to rely on this report (or any part of it) does so at its own risk. To the fullest extent permitted by law, KPMG LLP does not assume any responsibility and will not accept any liability in respect of this report to any party other than the Beneficiaries.

Complaints

If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our services can be improved or if you have a complaint about them, you are invited to contact Andy Shaw who is the engagement leader for our services to the Tayside Valuation Joint Board, telephone 0131 527 6673 email: andrew.shaw@kpmg.co.uk who will try to resolve your complaint. If your problem is not resolved, you should contact Alex Sanderson, our Head of Audit in Scotland, either by writing to him at Saltire Court, 20 Castle Terrace, Edinburgh, EH1 2EG or by telephoning 0131 527 6720 or email to alex.sanderson@kpmg.co.uk. We will investigate any complaint promptly and do what we can to resolve the difficulties. After this, if you are still dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can refer the matter to Russell Frith, Assistant Auditor General, Audit Scotland, 4th Floor, 102 West Port, Edinburgh, EH3 9DN.

SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND OTHER MATTERS



From discussions with management, our knowledge of the organisation and review of the risk register, we have considered areas of risk and audit focus.

We have identified management override of controls as a significant risk.


Other focus areas are:

- fraudulent revenue recognition; and
- retirement benefits.

MATERIALITY



WIDER SCOPE REQUIREMENTS



The audit will consider other areas:

- Audit Scotland's Code of Audit Practice ("the Code") and the audit dimensions set out in the 2016 code (in consultation).
- Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom disclosures.
- Targeted follow up.

KPMG TEAM



The team continues to benefit from continuity:

- Andy Shaw – engagement director;
- Natalie Dyce – engagement manager;
- Lewis Stewart – audit in-charge.

We will harness the expertise of our pension specialists to support our audit work where necessary.

Scope definition

The Accounts Commission has appointed KPMG LLP as auditor of Tayside Valuation Joint Board (“the Joint Board”) under the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (“the Act”). The period of appointment is 2011-12 to 2015-16, inclusive.

Purpose

This document summarises our responsibilities as external auditor for the year ending 31 March 2016 and our intended approach to issues impacting the Joint Board’s activities in the year.

Auditors and audited bodies’ responsibilities are set out in the Code. This Code states the responsibilities in relation to:

- the financial statements;
- corporate governance and systems of internal control;
- prevention and detection of fraud and irregularities;
- standards of conduct and arrangements for the prevention and detection of bribery and corruption;
- arrangements for preparing and publishing statutory performance information;
- financial position; and
- Best Value, uses of resources and performance.

These responsibilities are outlined in appendix four.


KPMG’s planned audit work in 2015-16 will include:

- an audit of the financial statements and provision of an opinion on whether the financial statements:
 - give a true and fair view in accordance with applicable law and the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom (“the 2015-16 Code”) of the state of the affairs of the Joint Board as at 31 March 2016 and of the income and expenditure of the Joint Board for the year then ended;
 - have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the European Union, as interpreted and adapted by the 2015-16 Code, the requirements of the Local Government (Scotland) act 1973, the Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014 and the Local Government Scotland Act 2003.
- a review and assessment of the Joint Board’s governance arrangements including a review of the governance statement.

Audit differences will be reported to the Joint Board if they are material in size or material in nature. For 2015-16 we consider individual or aggregated financial statement errors of over £73,000 (2014-15: £70,000) to be material.

To the extent that we identify misstatements above £3,600 (2014: £3,500) we report them to the Joint Board and assess whether the misstatement is indicative of a significantly deficient or materially weak control environment.

We recognise that matters can be important because of their nature regardless of their size, for example misstatements to key disclosures such as remuneration and related parties, and we will also report these to the Joint Board.



DETERMINING MATERIALITY

We consider materiality by reference to the Joint Board's gross expenditure. Audit guidance typically puts this percentage at not higher than 2% of the chosen gross metric (total expenditure).

We considered the Joint Board's total expenditure in 2014-15 and consider the use of a materiality of £73,000, representing 2% of 2014-15 total expenditure to be appropriate.

International Standard on Auditing (UK and Ireland) 315: *Identifying and assessing risks of material misstatement through understanding the entity and its environment* requires the auditor to determine whether any of the risks identified as part of risk assessment are significant risks and therefore requiring specific audit consideration.

In determining whether a risk is significant, judgement is applied in respect of the whether, for example, the risk is associated with the complexity of transactions, the degree of subjectivity involved in the measurement of financial information, whether the associated transactions are outside the normal course of business or whether there is an associated risk of fraud. We have set out our assessment of significant risks, along with other audit focus areas, in terms of the 2014-15 comprehensive income and expenditure statement and the balance sheet for illustrative purposes.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME AND EXPENDITURE	
CAPTION	14-15 £'000
Cost of services expenditure	3,676
Other operating income and expenditure	(446)
Financing and investment income and expenditure	372
Taxation and non specific grant income	(151)
Requisition income	(2,828)
Recognised capital income	(97)
Actuarial gain	(2,842)
Total comprehensive income and expenditure	(2,316)

Fraud risk from income recognition

Retirement benefits

BALANCE SHEET	
CAPTION	14-15 £'000
Property, plant and equipment	152
Short term debtors	181
Cash and cash equivalents	333
Short term creditors	(498)
Capital contributions receipts in advance	(55)
Net pension liabilities	(5,891)
Net liabilities	(5,778)
Useable reserves	103
Unusable reserves	(5,881)
Total	(5,778)

Key

Significant audit risk

Other focus area

RISK	WHY	AUDIT APPROACH
Fraud risk from management override of controls	Professional standards require us to communicate the fraud risk from management override of controls as a significant risk; as management is typically in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Our audit methodology incorporates the risk of management override as a default significant risk. We have not identified any specific additional risks of management override relating to the audit of the Joint Board. Strong oversight of finances by management provides additional review of potential material errors caused by management override of controls. In line with our methodology, we will carry out appropriate controls testing and substantive procedures, including over journal entries, accounting estimates and significant transactions that are outside the organisation's normal course of business, or are otherwise unusual.
Fraud risk from income recognition	<p>Professional standards require us to make a rebuttable presumption that the fraud risk from revenue recognition is a significant risk.</p> <p>As the Joint Board's income is primarily received from the constituent local authorities, we do not regard the risk of fraud from revenue recognition to be significant.</p>	We anticipate agreeing income received from the three constituent councils to relevant third party documentation, and will obtain audited returns in respect of expenditure incurred by Tayside Valuation Joint Board.
Retirement benefits	<p>The Joint Board accounts for its participation in the Tayside Pension Fund in accordance with the provisions of IAS 19 <i>Employee benefits</i> and recognises the actuarial valuation of the pension liabilities in respect of its share of the Fund. The Fund is valued by actuaries, the rates of contributions being determined by on their advice.</p> <p>The actuaries use membership data and a number of assumptions in their calculations based on market conditions at the year end, including a discount rate to derive the anticipated future liabilities back to the year end date and assumptions on future salary increases.</p>	<p>Our audit approach to IAS 19 includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> review of the financial assumptions underlying the actuary's calculations and comparison to our central benchmarks; testing of the level of contributions used by the actuary to those actually paid during the year; and testing of membership data used by the actuary to data from the Fund. <p>The actuarial assumptions applied in calculating the IAS 19 net pension liability are inherently judgemental. We will assess the assumptions for 2015-16 for appropriateness compared to our acceptable ranges, using pension specialists where required. We will also test underlying controls to verify the input data for staff costs and numbers.</p>

Code of practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2015-16 ("the Code")

The 2015-16 financial statements will be prepared in accordance with the *Code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2015-16* ("the Code") which is based on International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS").

The 2015-16 Code has a number of amendments from the 2014-15 version and management should consider if these changes will impact the financial statements. The amendments include:

- adoption of IFRS 13 *Fair Value Measurement*, including consequential amendments as a result of adopting this standard and the introduction of the concept of current value;
- amendments to underline the importance of the consideration of materiality when preparing disclosures; and
- amendments made as a result of the Local Authority Accounts (Scotland) Regulations 2014.

We do not consider that the adoption of IFRS 13 will have an impact on the Joint Board's financial statements.




Audit Scotland has also provided enhanced guidance in respect of a number of technical topics, which will be considered during the audit.

In accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) ISA 240 *The Auditor's responsibility to consider fraud in an Audit of a Financial Report*, we will undertake specific procedures and report findings to management and the Joint Board in respect of financial reporting fraud. The following diagram highlights the phases of our work on fraud.


Discuss fraud	Assess fraud risk	Tailor audit response
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Discussions with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – The Joint Board; and – Finance team members. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Preliminary fraud risk assessment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Management oversight. – Internal control framework. – Nature of operations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Our audit procedures are designed to have a reasonable chance of detecting misstatements as a result of fraud or error: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Review and test the fraud risk assessment process, systems and controls to prevent, deter and detect fraudulent activity. – Evaluate the design of financial reporting controls during process testing to assess their effectiveness in detecting fraud. – Identify and select specific journal entries for detailed substantiation and consolidation journals for appropriate evidence and basis. – Review significant accounting estimates for management bias. ■ The audit team will review and discuss fraud related risks and controls with management. ■ We will incorporate an element of unpredictability into our testing, as individuals within the Joint Board who are familiar with our audit procedures may be able to use that knowledge to conceal fraudulent financial reporting.

In respect of the financial statements, we identify the constituent account balances and significant classes of transactions and focus our work on identified risks.

Determining the most effective balance of internal controls and substantive audit testing enables us to ensure the audit process runs smoothly and with the minimum disruption to your team. The graphic opposite outlines how we apply this to the audit of the Joint Board's financial statements.

What we do	Accounts/transactions suited to this testing	KPMG's approach to:
 <p>Extensive controls testing</p> <p>Reduced substantive testing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low value transactions High volume Homogenous transactions Little judgement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Payroll expenditure Net cost of services
 <p>Moderate controls testing</p> <p>Moderate substantive testing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low/medium value High/medium volume Some areas requiring judgement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cash Other expenditure
 <p>Limited controls testing</p> <p>Extensive substantive testing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High value Low volume <p>or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unusual non-recurring Accounting estimates Significant judgments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Taxation and non-specific grant income Debtors, creditors and accruals Pension scheme liability Property plant and equipment Journals

Timeline and reporting



Appendices



Mandated communications with the Joint Board

APPENDIX 1

Matters to be communicated	Link to Audit Committee papers
Independence and our quality procedures ISA 260 (UK and Ireland).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See next page
The general approach and overall scope of the audit, including levels of materiality, fraud and engagement letter ISA 260 (UK and Ireland).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Main body of this paper
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disagreement with management about matters that, individually or in aggregate, could be significant to the entity's financial statements or the auditor's report, and their resolution (AU 380). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the event of such matters of significance we would expect to communicate with the Joint Board throughout the year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant difficulties we encountered during the audit. Significant matters discussed, or subject to correspondence, with management (ISA 260). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Formal reporting will be included in our audit highlights memorandum for the September 2016 Joint Board meeting, which focuses on the financial statements.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Our views about the qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting and financial reporting. The potential effect on the financial statements of any material risks and exposures, such as pending litigation, that are required to be disclosed in the financial statements (ISA 260 and ISA 540). 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit adjustments, whether or not recorded by the entity, that have, or could have, a material effect on its financial statements. We will request you to correct uncorrected misstatements (including disclosure misstatements) (ISA 450). 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The selection of, or changes in, significant accounting policies and practices that have, or could have, a material effect on the entity's financial statements (ISA 570). 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Material uncertainties related to events and conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern (ISA 570). 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected modifications to the auditor's report (ISA 705). 	

Professional ethical standards require us to communicate to you as part of planning all significant facts and matters, including those related to the provision of non-audit services and the safeguards put in place that, in our professional judgement, may reasonably be thought to bear on KPMG LLP's independence and the objectivity of Andy Shaw and the audit team. This letter is intended to comply with this requirement although we will communicate any significant judgements made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of safeguards put in place.

This letter is intended to comply with this requirement and facilitate a subsequent discussion with you on audit independence and addresses:

General procedures to safeguard independence and objectivity

KPMG LLP is committed to being and being seen to be independent. As part of our ethics and independence policies, all KPMG LLP partners, directors and staff annually confirm their compliance with our ethics and independence policies and procedures including in particular that they have no prohibited shareholdings. Our ethics and independence policies and procedures are fully consistent with the requirements of the APB Ethical Standards. As a result we have underlying safeguards in place to maintain independence through:

- Instilling professional values;
- Communications;
- Internal accountability;
- Risk management; and
- Independent reviews.

We are satisfied that our general procedures support our independence and objectivity.

Confirmation of our audit independence

We confirm that as at 12 February 2016, in our professional judgement, KPMG LLP is independent within the meaning of regulatory and professional requirements and the objectivity of Andy Shaw and the audit team is not impaired.


This report is intended solely for the information of the Joint Board and should not be used for any other purposes.

Please inform me if you would like to discuss any of these aspects of our procedures in more detail.

Yours faithfully

KPMG LLP

Audit Scotland requires that the fee for our work is set within an indicative range, depending on the assessment of risk and other factors facing the Joint Board. The indicative fee range is calculated using a number of inputs:



The indicative fee ranges are based on the following assumptions to ensure an efficient audit process:



Audit Scotland has notified us that the fee range for 2015-16 is £5,670 to £8,510, with a mid-point of £7,090 (including VAT). We have proposed a fee of £7,090. Should we be required to undertake significant additional audit work in respect of any of the areas of audit focus or other matters arising, we will discuss with management the impact of this on our proposed fee.

Audit Scotland code of audit practice – responsibilities of auditors and management

Responsibilities of auditors	Responsibilities of management
Financial statements	
<p>Auditors are required to audit financial statements in accordance with the timescales set by Audit Scotland, which may be shorter than statutory requirements, and give an opinion on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ whether they give a true and fair view of the financial position of audited bodies and their expenditure and income; and ■ whether they have been properly prepared in accordance with relevant legislation, the applicable accounting framework and other reporting requirements. <p>Auditors should review and report on, as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the directors’ report, annual governance statement, statement on internal control or statement on internal financial control and the remuneration report.</p> <p>Where required, auditors should also review and report on the Whole of Government Accounts return.</p>	<p>Audited bodies’ financial statements are an essential part of accounting for their stewardship of the resources made available to them and their performance in the use of those resources. Audited bodies are responsible for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ ensuring the regularity of transactions, by putting in place systems of internal control to ensure that they are in accordance with the appropriate authority; ■ maintaining proper accounting records; ■ preparing financial statements which give a true and fair view of their financial position and their expenditure and income, in accordance with the relevant financial reporting framework (eg, the Financial Reporting Manual or an Accounting Code of Practice); ■ preparing and publishing with their financial statements an annual governance statement, statement on internal control or statement on internal financial control and a remuneration report; and ■ preparing consolidation packs and, in larger bodies, preparing a Whole of Government Accounts return.
Corporate governance arrangements	
<p>Consistent with the wider scope of public audit, the Code gives auditors a responsibility to review and report on audited bodies’ corporate governance arrangements as they relate to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ bodies’ reviews of corporate governance and systems of internal control, including their reporting arrangements ■ the prevention and detection of fraud and irregularity ■ standards of conduct and arrangements for the prevention and detection of corruption; and ■ the financial position of audited bodies. 	<p>Through its chief executive or accountable officer, each body is responsible for establishing arrangements for ensuring the proper conduct of its affairs including the legality of activities and transactions, and for monitoring the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements. Audited bodies usually involve those charged with governance (including audit committees or similar groups) in monitoring these arrangements.</p>

Audit Scotland code of audit practice – responsibilities of auditors and management

Responsibilities of auditors	Responsibilities of management
Systems of internal control	
<p>Auditors are required to review and report on the compliance statements given by bodies under the relevant code or framework before their publication. This is discharged by reviewing and, where appropriate, examining evidence relevant to audited bodies' arrangements in accordance with any guidance issued by Audit Scotland. Auditors are not required to consider whether the statements cover all risks and controls, or form an opinion on the effectiveness of procedures, but report where compliance statements are not consistent with their knowledge of the body.</p>	<p>Audited bodies are responsible for developing and implementing systems of internal control, including risk management, financial, operational and compliance controls. They are required to conduct annual reviews of the effectiveness of their governance, systems of internal control, or internal financial control, and report publicly that they have done so. Such reviews should take account of the work of internal audit and be carried out by those charged with governance, usually through bodies' audit committees.</p>
Prevention and detection of fraud and irregularities	
<p>Auditors should review and report on these arrangements. While auditors do not substitute for audited bodies own responsibilities, and are not responsible for preventing or detecting fraud or irregularity, they should be alert to the potential for breaches of procedures, and of fraud and irregularity. Auditors examine evidence that is relevant to these arrangements, particularly aspects of internal financial control such as segregation of duties, authorisation and approval processes and reconciliation procedures.</p>	<p>Audited bodies are responsible for establishing arrangements to prevent and detect fraud and other irregularity. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ developing, promoting and monitoring compliance with standing orders and financial instructions; ■ developing and implementing strategies to prevent and detect fraud and other irregularity; ■ receiving and investigating alleged breaches of proper standards of financial conduct or fraud and irregularity; and ■ participating, when required, in data matching exercises carried out by Audit Scotland.

Audit Scotland code of audit practice – responsibilities of auditors and management

Responsibilities of auditors	Responsibilities of management
Standards of conduct and arrangements for the prevention and detection of bribery and corruption	
<p>Auditors should consider whether bodies have adequate arrangements in place to maintain and promote proper standards of financial conduct and to prevent and detect bribery and corruption. Auditors review and, where appropriate, examine evidence that is relevant to these arrangements and reporting their findings.</p> <p>While auditors are not responsible for preventing or detecting failure to maintain an appropriate level of integrity and openness, they should be alert to the potential for corruption and breaches of standards of conduct in all aspects of their work. If weaknesses in arrangements are identified or notified, auditors should report them promptly to management or those charged with governance.</p>	<p>Audited bodies are responsible for ensuring that their affairs are managed in accordance with proper standards of conduct and should put proper arrangements in place for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ implementing and monitoring compliance with appropriate guidance on standards of conduct and codes of conduct for members and officers; ■ promoting appropriate values and standards; and ■ developing, promoting and monitoring compliance with standing orders and financial instructions.
Financial position	
<p>Auditors should consider whether audited bodies have established adequate arrangements to ensure that their financial position is soundly based, where appropriate, examining evidence that is relevant to the arrangements.</p> <p>Auditors should have regard to audited bodies’:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ financial performance in the period under audit ■ compliance with any statutory financial requirements and financial targets ■ ability to meet known or contingent statutory and other financial obligations ■ responses to developments which may have an impact on their financial position; and ■ financial plans for future periods. 	<p>Audited bodies are responsible for conducting their affairs and for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that their financial position is soundly based having regard to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ such financial monitoring and reporting arrangements as may be specified; ■ compliance with any statutory financial requirements and achievement of financial targets; ■ balances and reserves, including strategies about levels and future use; and ■ the impact of planned future policies and foreseeable developments on their financial position.



Audit Scotland code of audit practice – responsibilities of auditors and management

APPENDIX 4

Responsibilities of auditors

Responsibilities of management

Best Value, use of resources and performance

The Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 places a duty on the auditors of local government bodies to be satisfied that proper arrangements have been made for securing Best Value and complying with responsibilities relating to community planning.

Auditors of local government bodies also have a responsibility to review and report on the arrangements that specified audited bodies have made to prepare and publish performance information in accordance with directions issued by the Accounts Commission.

Auditors should undertake appropriate work to satisfy themselves that bodies have put in place adequate arrangements for the collection, recording and publication of statutory performance information by reviewing and examining evidence that is relevant to these arrangements in accordance with any guidance issued by Audit Scotland.

Local authorities have a statutory duty to make arrangements to secure Best Value; defined as the continuous improvement in the performance of functions. In securing Best Value, local authorities must maintain a balance of quality and cost considerations and have regard, among other things, to economy, efficiency and effectiveness (or 'value for money') and the need to meet equal opportunity requirements and contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. Local authorities also have a duty for community planning, which is to initiate, maintain and facilitate consultation among and with public bodies, community bodies and others about the provision of services in the area of the local authority and the planning of that provision.

Achievement of Best Value or value for money depends on the existence of sound management arrangements for services, including procedures for planning, appraisal, authorisation and control, accountability and evaluation of the use of resources. Audited bodies are responsible for ensuring that these matters are given due priority and resources, and that proper procedures are established and operate satisfactorily.

The Local Government Act 1992 requires the Accounts Commission to specify information which local authorities must publish about their performance.



cutting through complexity

The contacts at KPMG in connection with this report are:

Andy Shaw

Director

Tel: 0131 527 6673

andrew.shaw@kpmg.co.uk

Natalie Dyce

Engagement Manager

Tel: 0141 300 5746

sarah.burden@kpmg.co.uk

Lewis Stewart

In-charge

Tel: 0131 451 7780

lewis.stewart@kpmg.co.uk

© 2016 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name, logo and "cutting through complexity" are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.